

Electroweak unification of quarks and leptons in a gauge group $SU_C(3) \times SU(4) \times U_X(1)$

Fayyazuddin*

*National Centre for Physics and Physics Department,
Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan.*

(Dated: March 20, 2012)

A model for electroweak unification of quarks and leptons, in a gauge group $SU_C(3) \times SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ is constructed. The model requires, three generations of quarks and leptons which are replicas (mirror) of the standard quarks and leptons. The gauge group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ is broken in such a way so as to reproduce standard model and to generate heavy masses for the vector bosons ($W_{R\mu}^\pm$, Z'_μ, Z''_μ), the leptoquarks and mirror fermions. It is shown lower limit on mass scale of mirror fermions is $m_E \geq \frac{m_Z}{2}$, E^- being the lightest mirror fermion coupled to Z boson. As the universe expands, the heavy matter is decoupled at an early stage of expansion and may be a source of dark matter. Leptoquarks in the model connect the standard model and mirror fermions. Baryon genesis in our Universe implies antibaryon genesis in mirror Universe.

I. INTRODUCTION

A unification model based on the gauge group $SU(4)$, with $\sin^2 \theta_W = 1/4$ at the unification mass scale 5.8TeV, was proposed in 1984 [1]. Although leptons of standard model can be assigned to the representation 4_R or equivalently 4_L^* , the cancelation of anomaly requires Hahn-Nambu quarks [2] with integral charges. Several models based on the extension of $SU(4)$ to the semi-simple group $SU_C(3) \times SU(4) \times U(1)$ have been constructed [3]-[7]. However all these models require extra quarks and leptons beyond those of standard model group $SU_C(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$.

By extending the group $SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ to $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$, a model is proposed in this paper to unify two separate multiplets of quarks and leptons of standard model in a single multiplet of electroweak unification group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$. However the unification requires pairing of three generations of quarks (leptons) of the standard model with three generations of mirror leptons (quarks) in the fundamental representation 4_L or 4_R of $SU(4)$.

It is an attempt for electroweak unification of quarks and leptons in contrast to unification of quarks and leptons of standard model in a grand unification group $G \subset SU_C(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$.

The grand unification group $SU(5)$ is most economical; quarks and leptons of standard model are assigned to the representations $\bar{5} + 10$ of $SU(5)$. Out of twenty four vector bosons of $SU(5)$, eight are gluons, four bosons of standard model and twelve are lepto-quarks. In $SU(5)$ the selection rule $\Delta(B - L) = 0$ holds. The proton life time $\tau_p(p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0) \sim 4 \times 10^{29}$, predicted in $SU(5)$, contradicts the experimental limit $\tau_p(p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0) \sim 5 \times 10^{32}$. The extension of $SU(5)$ to minimal supersymmetric model (MSSM) $SU(5)$, (to avoid the above problem and for unification of three coupling constants of standard model at GUT scale) is rich in proliferation of fermions and bosons with large number of unknown parameters [8]. It is hard to go beyond standard model without proliferations of fermions and bosons. In this respect, electroweak unification model formulated in this paper is not different as it requires mirror fermions. Out of sixteen vector bosons in this model, eight are lepto-quarks, eight are vector bosons ($W_{L\mu}^\pm, Z_\mu, A_\mu$) and ($W_{R\mu}^\pm, Z'_\mu, Z''_\mu$). The lepto-quarks connect the ordinary and mirror fermions.

Lower limit to the mass scale of mirror fermions is obtained from the fact that decay width of Z-boson is accurately accounted for in terms of the decay channels available in the standard model. Hence the decay channel $Z \rightarrow E^- E^+$, involving the lightest mirror fermions, gives the limit $m_E \geq m_Z/2$; assuming similar mass hierarchy for mirror fermions as for standard model fermions, one would expect left-handed mirror neutrinos ν_{Ei} to be stable and good candidates for dark matter. Another feature of electroweak unification model is that matter dominated our Universe implies antimatter dominated mirror Universe.

* fayyazuddins@gmail.com

II. ELECTROWEAK UNIFICATION GROUP $SU(4) \times U_x(1)$

The group $SU(4)$ has $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U_{Y_1}(1)$ as its subgroup with three diagonal matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_3 &= \lambda_3 : \text{diag}(1, -1, 0, 0) \\ s_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(-\lambda_8 + \sqrt{2}\lambda_{15} \right) : \text{diag}(0, 0, 1, -1) \\ Y_1 &= \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \left(2\lambda_8 + \sqrt{2}\lambda_{15} \right) : \text{diag}(2/3, 2/3, -2/3, -2/3) \\ Q(SU(4)) &= \frac{1}{2} (\tau_3 + s_3 + Y_1) : \text{diag}(5/6, -1/6, 1/6, -5/6)\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

A charge structure of fermions not realized in nature. First we note that at unification scale, $\sin^2\theta_W = e^2/g^2 = 9/26 \approx 0.346$ to be compared with the $SU(5)$ value $\sin^2\theta_W = 3/8 \approx 0.375$. To see this, we note that

$$c_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} Y_1^2 = 8/9 \quad (2)$$

Let $B_{1\mu}$ be the vector boson associated with $U_{Y_1}(1)$ with the gauge coupling constant g_1 :

$$g_1^2 = \frac{g^2}{c_1^2} = \frac{9g^2}{8} \quad (3)$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{e^2} = \frac{2}{g^2} + \frac{1}{g_1^2} = \frac{26}{9} \frac{1}{g^2} \quad (4)$$

giving $\sin^2\theta_W = 9/26$ at the unification mass scale.

With odd charge structure of fermions, the proposed $SU(4)$ is not realized in nature; it must be extended to $SU(4) \times U_X(1) \supset SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U_{Y_1} \times U_X(1)$ with

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} (\tau_3 + s_3 + Y_1 + Y_X) \quad (5)$$

For

$$\begin{aligned}Y_X &= -1/3, Q = \text{diag}(2/3, -1/3, 0, -1) \\ Y_X &= 1/3, Q = \text{diag}(1, 0, 1/3, -2/3)\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

An attractive charge structure, suitable to assign quarks-leptons and their CP-conjugates to the multiplet 4_L and 4_R respectively. The three generations of ordinary matter

$$\psi_q = \begin{pmatrix} u_i^a \\ d_i^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_l = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{ei} \\ e_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

are paired with their replicas

$$\psi_Q = \begin{pmatrix} U_i^a \\ D_i^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_E = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{Ei} \\ E_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

a: color index a = r, y, b

i: generation index i = 1, 2, 3

The three generations of quarks and leptons are assigned to the gauge group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ in the following two ways (suppressing both color and generation indices a and i).

Version 1:

$$F_L = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_q \\ \psi_E \end{pmatrix}_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ \nu_E \\ E \end{pmatrix}_{L, Y_X = -1/3} \quad f_R : \begin{bmatrix} u_R, d_R : Y_X = 4/3, -2/3 \\ E_R : Y_X = -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$F_R = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_l^c \\ \psi_Q^c \end{pmatrix}_R = \begin{pmatrix} e^c \\ -\nu_e^c \\ -D^c \\ U^c \end{pmatrix}_{R, Y_X=1/3} \quad f_L : \begin{cases} U_L^c, D_L^c : Y_X^c = -4/3, 2/3 \\ e_L^c : Y_X^c = 2 \end{cases}$$

Version 2:

$$F_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ \nu_E \\ E^- \end{pmatrix}_{L, Y_X=-1/3}, \quad F'_R = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \\ N_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_{R, Y_X=-1/3} \quad (10)$$

$$F_R = \begin{pmatrix} e^+ \\ -\nu_e^c \\ -D^c \\ U^c \end{pmatrix}_{R, Y_X=1/3}, \quad F'_L = \begin{pmatrix} E^+ \\ -N_E^c \\ -d^c \\ u^c \end{pmatrix}_{L, Y_X=1/3}$$

Both these representations are anomaly free as there are equal numbers of left-handed and right handed multiplets. The second version has complicated phenomenology, we will not consider it any further.

The gauge symmetry is broken to $U_{em}(1)$ in such a way so as to generate the mass pattenen: $m(\text{lepto-quarks}) \gg m_{W_R}^\pm, m_{Z'}, m_{Z''} \gg m_{W_L}^\pm, m_Z$. As the Universe expands, lepto-quarks are decoupled at an early stage of expansion followed by vector bosons $W_R^\pm, Z', Z''$ and mirror fermions: The lepto-quarks bosons carry $\Delta B = \pm 1/3$, $\Delta L = \mp 1$, so that when color is taken into account, $\Delta(B+L) = 0$, in contrast to $SU(5)$ where the selection rule is $\Delta(B-L) = 0$. From Eqs. (9) and (10), it is clear that lepto-quarks bosons connect the ordinary and mirror fermions.

III. GAUGE VECTOR BOSONS AND SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING

The gauge vector bosons of $SU(4)$ belong to adjoint representation. The 16 vector bosons of $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ can be written as 4×4 matrix.

$$W_\mu \equiv \begin{pmatrix} W_{11}(W'_{11})_\mu & \sqrt{2}W_{L\mu}^- & \sqrt{2}X_{1\mu}^{-2/3} & \sqrt{2}Y_{1\mu}^{-5/3} \\ \sqrt{2}W_{L\mu}^+ & W_{22}(W'_{22})_\mu & \sqrt{2}X_{2\mu}^{1/3} & \sqrt{2}Y_{2\mu}^{-2/3} \\ \sqrt{2}X_{1\mu}^{2/3} & \sqrt{2}X_{2\mu}^{-1/3} & W_{33}(W'_{33})_\mu & \sqrt{2}W_{R\mu}^- \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{1\mu}^{5/3} & \sqrt{2}Y_{2\mu}^{2/3} & \sqrt{2}W_{R\mu}^+ & W_{44}(W'_{44})_\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{11}(W'_{11})_\mu &= W_{3L\mu} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{g_1}{g} B_{1\mu} \mp \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_X}{g} X_\mu \\ W_{22}(W'_{22})_\mu &= -W_{3L\mu} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{g_1}{g} B_{1\mu} \mp \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_X}{g} X_\mu \\ W_{33}(W'_{33})_\mu &= W_{3R\mu} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{g_1}{g} B_{1\mu} \mp \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_X}{g} X_\mu \\ W_{44}(W'_{44})_\mu &= -W_{3R\mu} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{g_1}{g} B_{1\mu} \mp \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_X}{g} X_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In addition, another combination of neutral vector bosons is introduced

$$W''_{44\mu} = -W_{3R\mu} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{g_1}{g} B_{1\mu} + \frac{5}{3} \frac{g_X}{g} X_\mu \quad (13)$$

$W''_{44\mu}$ is not coupled to fermions as is clear from Eq.(12)

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_\mu}{e} &= \frac{W_{3L\mu}}{g} + \frac{W_{3R\mu}}{g} + \frac{B_{1\mu}}{g_1} + \frac{X_\mu}{g_X} \\ \frac{B_\mu}{g'} &= \frac{1}{g} W_{3R\mu} + \frac{1}{g''} \left(\frac{g''}{g_1} B_{1\mu} + \frac{g''}{g_X} X_\mu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Introduce, the neutral vector bosons V'_μ and V''_μ

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{V'_\mu}{g'} &= \frac{1}{g''}W_{3R\mu} - \frac{1}{g}\left(\frac{g''}{g_1}B_{1\mu} + \frac{g''}{g_X}X_\mu\right) \\ \frac{V''_\mu}{g''} &= \left(\frac{1}{g_X}B_{1\mu} - \frac{1}{g_1}X_\mu\right)\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

From Eqs.(14) and (15)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{e^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2} + \frac{1}{g'^2} \\ \frac{1}{g'^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2} + \frac{1}{g_1^2} + \frac{1}{g_X^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{g^2} + \frac{1}{g''^2} \\ \frac{1}{g''^2} &= \frac{1}{g_1^2} + \frac{1}{g_X^2}\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

From the above equations

$$\begin{aligned}A_\mu &= \frac{e}{g}W_{3L\mu} + \frac{e}{g'}B_\mu \\ Z_\mu &= \frac{e}{g'}W_{3L\mu} - \frac{e}{g}B_\mu\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

$$\frac{e}{g} = \sin\theta_W, \quad \frac{e}{g'} = \cos\theta_W, \quad \tan\theta_W = \frac{g'}{g}\quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}W_{33\mu} &= \frac{g''}{g}\left[\frac{g}{g'}V'_\mu - \frac{1}{3}\frac{2g_1^2 - g_X^2}{g_1g_X}V''_\mu\right] = Z'_\mu \\ W_{44\mu} &= \frac{g''}{g}\left[-\frac{g}{g'}V'_\mu - \frac{1}{3}\frac{2g_1^2 + 5g_X^2}{g_1g_X}V''_\mu\right] = Z''_\mu\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

The diagonal matrix elements given in Eq.(12) can be expressed in terms of four physical neutral vector bosons A_μ , Z_μ , Z'_μ and Z''_μ of the group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$.

In order to give masses to the vector bosons, the gauge symmetry group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ is spontaneously broken. The gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken in the following pattern

$$\begin{aligned}SU(4) \times U_X(1) &\xrightarrow{V^2} SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U_{Y_1(1)} \times U_X(1) \\ &\xrightarrow{v_3^2, v_4^2} SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1) \xrightarrow{v^2} U_{em}(1)\end{aligned}$$

$V^2 \gg v_3^2, v_4^2 \gg v^2$.

For this pattern, the first stage of symmetry breaking is accomplished by 15-plet of Higgs η :

$$\langle\eta\rangle = \frac{V}{\sqrt{2}}diag(1, 1, -1, -1)$$

This gives the lepto-quarks mass term:

$$M(lepto - quark) = \frac{1}{2}g^2V^2[2X_1^{2/3\mu}X_{1\mu}^{-2/3} + 2X_2^{1/3\mu}X_{2\mu}^{-1/3} + 2Y_1^{5/3\mu}Y_{1\mu}^{-5/3} + 2Y_2^{2/3\mu}Y_{2\mu}^{-2/3}] \quad (20)$$

The gauge group $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U_{Y_1(1)} \times U_X(1)$ is broken to the standard model group $SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ by introducing scalar multiplets Φ_3 and Φ_4 :

$$\Phi_3 : (1, 2)_{Y_1=-2/3, Y_X=-1/3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \Phi_3^0 \\ \Phi_3^- \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_3 + H_3) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

$$\Phi_4 : (1, 2)_{Y_1=-2/3, Y_X=5/3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \Phi_4^+ \\ \Phi_4^0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ H_4^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_4 + H_4) \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

The group $SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ is broken to $U_{em}(1)$ by a scalar multiplet

$$\Phi : (2, 1)_{Y_1=2/3, Y_X=1/3} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^+ \\ \Phi^0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + H) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Thus the vector boson mass term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_W = & \frac{1}{2}g^2v^2 \left[2W_L^{+\mu}W_{L\mu}^- + \frac{1}{\cos^2\theta_W}Z^\mu Z_\mu + 2X_2^{1/3\mu}X_{2\mu}^{-1/3} + 2Y_2^{2/3\mu}Y_{2\mu}^{-2/3} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}g^2v_3^2 \left[2X_1^{2/3\mu}X_{1\mu}^{-2/3} + 2X_2^{1/3\mu}X_{2\mu}^{-1/3} + Z'^\mu Z'_\mu + 2W_R^{-\mu}W_{R\mu}^+ \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}g^2v_4^2 \left[2Y_1^{5/3\mu}Y_{1\mu}^{-5/3} + 2Y_2^{2/3\mu}Y_{2\mu}^{-2/3} + 2W_R^{+\mu}W_{R\mu}^- + Z''^\mu Z''_\mu \right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we have neglected the contribution of $\langle \Phi \rangle$ to Z'_μ and Z''_μ in the first term of Eq.(23), since $v_3^2, v_4^2 \gg v^2$. For the multiplets given in Eq.(9), the quarks and leptons acquire mass by the vacuum expectation value of the scalar multiplets Φ and Φ_3 .

For this pattern of symmetry breaking we get following mass pattern for the vector bosons

$$m(\text{lepto-quarks}) \gg m_{W_{R\mu}}^\pm, Z'_\mu, Z''_\mu \gg m_{W_{L\mu}}^\pm, Z$$

To have an intermediate mass scale for $W_{R\mu}^\pm, Z'_\mu, Z''_\mu$ is attractive, as for this case, the phenomenology is similar to those gauge groups with an extra neutral vector boson Z' .

IV. GAUGE INVARIANT LAGRANGIAN

For the quark leptons assignment to the representations $(4_L, 1_R), (4_R, 1_L)$ given in Eq.(9) the gauge invariant Lagrangian :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{F}_{L,R} i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + \frac{i}{2}g\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{W}_\mu \mp \frac{i}{6}g_X X_\mu \right) F_{L,R} \\ & + \bar{f}_R i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + i\frac{Y_X}{2}g_X X_\mu \right) f_R + \bar{f}_L i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + i\frac{Y_X^C}{2}g_X X_\mu \right) f_L \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From Eq.(25), the interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{charged}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{B-L}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{neutral})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{charged}) = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[(\bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu e + \bar{u} \gamma^\mu d)_L W_{L\mu}^- + h.c. \right] \\ & \left[+ (\bar{\nu}_E \gamma^\mu E + \bar{U} \gamma^\mu D)_L W_{R\mu}^- + h.c. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{B-L}) = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[(\bar{u} \gamma^\mu E - \bar{U} \gamma^\mu e)_L Y_{1\mu}^{-5/3} + h.c. \right] \\ & + \left[(\bar{d} \gamma^\mu E + \bar{U} \gamma^\mu \nu_e)_L Y_{2\mu}^{-2/3} + h.c. \right] \\ & + \left[(\bar{u} \gamma^\mu \nu_E + \bar{D} \gamma^\mu e)_L X_{1\mu}^{-2/3} + h.c. \right] \\ & + \left[(\bar{d} \gamma^\mu \nu_E - \bar{D} \gamma^\mu \nu_e)_L X_{2\mu}^{1/3} + h.c. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\text{neutral}) = & -g \left[\sin \theta_W J_{em}^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{2 \cos \theta_W} J_Z^\mu Z_\mu \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{4} [\tan^2 \theta_W (-2 J_{em}^\mu + J_{3V-A}^\mu) (1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{g_1^2}) \\
& + ((\nu_e \gamma^\mu \nu_e + \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e) - (\bar{u} \gamma^\mu u + \bar{d} \gamma^\mu d) \\
& + 2(\bar{\nu}_E \gamma^\mu \nu_E - 2 \bar{D} \gamma^\mu D))_L] Z'_\mu \\
& + \frac{1}{4} [\tan^2 \theta_W (2 J_{em}^\mu - J_{3V-A}^\mu) (1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{g_1^2}) \\
& + ((\nu_e \gamma^\mu \nu_e + \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e) - (\bar{u} \gamma^\mu u + \bar{d} \gamma^\mu d) \\
& + 4(\bar{E} \gamma^\mu E - \bar{U} \gamma^\mu U))_L] Z''_\mu \left. \right] \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{em}^\mu = & [-\bar{e} \gamma^\mu e + \frac{2}{3} \bar{u} \gamma^\mu u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma^\mu d \\
& - \bar{E} \gamma^\mu E + \frac{2}{3} \bar{U} \gamma^\mu U - \frac{1}{3} \bar{D} \gamma^\mu D] \\
J_Z^\mu = & [J_{3V-A}^\mu - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W J_{em}^\mu] \\
J_{3V-A}^\mu = & [(\bar{\nu}_E \gamma^\mu \nu_e) - \bar{e} \gamma^\mu e + (\bar{u} \gamma^\mu u - \bar{d} \gamma^\mu d)]_L \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eqs.(25) and (27), it is clear that neither the charged (V-A) current nor the neutral current for the mirror fermions is coupled to the vector bosons $W_{L\mu}^\pm$ and Z_μ . Thus the mirror fermions are decoupled from the fermions of the standard model except through lepto quark bosons or through electromagnetic current. In particular the decays:

$$\nu_E \rightarrow d + \bar{D} + \nu_e, \quad \nu_E \rightarrow u + \bar{D} + e^-$$

through lepto-quarks $X_{2\mu}^{1/3}$ and $X_{1\mu}^{-2/3}$ are not energetically allowed. Also the decay of ν_E through neutral vector bosons Z'_μ , Z''_μ is not possible. The heavy neutrinos ν_{E_i} , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are stable and are good candidates for the dark matter.

Finally the couplings of scalar multiplets to fermions give mass term :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(h_d \bar{d}_L d_R + h_u \bar{u}_L u_R + h_e \bar{e}_L e_R) + h.c.] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + H) \\
& + [(h_{\nu_E} \bar{\nu}_{EL} N_{ER} + h_E \bar{E}_L E_R + h_D \bar{D}_L D_R + h_u \bar{u}_L u_R) + h.c.] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_3 + H_3) \\
& + [(f_d \bar{d}_L E_R + f_e \bar{U}_R \nu_{eL}) H^{-2/3} + h.c.] \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

where an extra right-handed neutrino N_{ER} is introduced. The neutrino ν_E acquires Majorana mass through see-saw mechanism. From Eq. (27), it is clear that the decay channels $Z \rightarrow E^- E^+, U \bar{U}, D \bar{D}$ are available to Z-boson through J_{em}^μ in J_Z^μ . The decay width of Z boson is accurately accounted for in terms of the decay channels of the standard model, it follows that $m_E \geq m_Z/2$; the mirror electron being the lightest fermion coupled to Z. Hence the mass scale v_3/v for breaking the group $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \times U_{Y_1}(1) \times U_X(1)$ to $SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_E v_3}{h_e v} & \geq \frac{m_Z/2}{m_e} \\
\frac{v_3}{v} & \geq \frac{m_Z}{2m_e} \left(\frac{h_e}{h_E} \right) \sim 10^5 \left(\frac{h_e}{h_E} \right). \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from the above equation

$$m'_Z/m_Z \geq 10^5 \left(\frac{h_e}{h_E} \right)$$

If $h_e \sim h_E$, $m'_Z \geq 10^5 m_Z$. However, if $h_E \gg h_e$, then the lower limit for $m_{Z'}$ is much lower. For example, $h_E/h_e \sim 10^3$, $m_{Z'} \geq 10^2 m_Z$. The mass hierarchy of fermions in the standard model requires that Yukawa coupling of Higgs scalar increases with mass of the fermions; hence assuming this pattern, it is possible $h_E \gg h_e$. If $v_4 > v_3$, then Z' is lighter than Z'' ; it has similar phenomenology as for the models with an extra neutral vector boson. If $v_4 \approx v_3$, then we have two extra neutral vector bosons degenerate in mass.

V. CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

We briefly discuss the baryon genesis. A typical lepto-quark decays into a mirror fermion accompanied by an ordinary light antifermion:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &\rightarrow \bar{q}L : r_{\bar{q}} & X &\rightarrow q\bar{L} : r_q \\ &\rightarrow \bar{Q}l : r_{\bar{Q}} & &\rightarrow Q\bar{l} : r_Q\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_{\bar{q}} + r_{\bar{Q}} = 1, \quad r_q + r_Q = 1$$

As is well known, baryon genesis requires

$$r_q \neq r_{\bar{q}}, \quad r_Q \neq r_{\bar{Q}}$$

For baryon genesis in our universe

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta B_q &= (B_q - B_{\bar{q}}) = (r_q - r_{\bar{q}}) & r_q > r_{\bar{q}} \\ \Delta L &= (L - \bar{L}) < 0\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta B_Q &= (B_Q - B_{\bar{Q}}) = (r_Q - r_{\bar{Q}}) \\ &= [(1 - r_q) - (1 - r_{\bar{q}})] = (r_{\bar{q}} - r_q) < 0 \\ \Delta l &= (l - \bar{l}) > 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence for our Universe; $\Delta B_q > 0$, $\Delta l > 0$, implies $\Delta B_Q < 0$; $\Delta L < 0$

Hence baryon-genesis in our universe implies antibaryon genesis in the mirror universe; overall a symmetric Universe.

To summarize: A model for electroweak unification of quarks and leptons is constructed. The gauge group for unification is $SU_C(3) \times SU(4) \times U_X(1)$, which is just an extension of $SU_L(2) \times U(1)$ to $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$. The model requires three generations of quarks and leptons which are replica (mirror) of quarks and leptons of the standard model. The gauge group $SU(4) \times U_X(1)$ is spontaneously broken in such a way as to reproduce the standard model and to generate mass pattern $m(\text{lepto-quarks}) \gg m_{W_{R\mu}}^\pm, Z'_\mu, Z''_\mu \gg m_{W_{L\mu}}^\pm, Z_\mu$. The Z-boson decay width give the lower limit, on the mass scale of mirror fermions: $m_E \geq m_Z/2$, the lightest mirror fermion coupled to Z. The lepto-quarks connect the standard model and mirror fermions. The matter dominated our Universe implies antimatter dominated mirror Universe.

-
- [1] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Phys.Rev **D 30**, 1041 (1984).
 - [2] M.Y.Han and Y.Nambu, Phys.Rev **B**, 1006 (1965); see also J.C.Pati and A.Salam, Phys.Rev **D 8**, 1240 (1973).
 - [3] R.Foot, H.N.Long and T.A.Tran, Phys.Rev **D 50**,34, (1994)[arXiv: hep-ph/9402243].
 - [4] F.Pisano and V.Pleitz, Phys.Rev **D 51**,3865, (1995) [arXiv: hep-ph/9401272].
 - [5] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, **JHEP 0412**,013 (2004) [arXiv: hep-ph/0403042].
 - [6] Riazuddin and Fayyazuddin, Eur.Phys.J.c **56**,389 (2008) [arXiv: 0803.4267].
 - [7] A.Jaramillo and A.Sanchez, [arXiv: 1110.3363] (2011).
 - [8] For a review see for example "Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Modern Introduction to Particle Physics, Third Edition ch. 17, section 17.1" World Scientific Singapore, 2011.